

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—22. (a) It is not possible to furnish the information asked for as the question is vague.

(b) It is the policy of Government to secure an adequate representation of all communities in the State service.

MR. G. CHANNARAYAREDDY (Chikballapur Taluk).—

Q.—23. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) Whether the Municipality of Chikballapur has not been levying a toll of 4 annas per cart on through traffic in 1930-31 ?

(b) Whether this levy had not been enforced during the year 1929-30 ?

(c) Whether it is not possible to abolish this levy during the current year ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENTS.—

A.—23. (a) Yes.

(b) No.

(c) Necessary instructions have been issued to discontinue the levy of toll on through traffic.

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

MR. G. CHANNARAYAREDDY.—

A toll of two annas is being levied on empty carts. Will the Government be pleased to order that this should be abolished ?

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.—

Instructions have been issued that such a levy would be improper.

MR. B. SRINIVASAIENGAR (of Anekal).—

Are not the Municipalities giving a contribution for road maintenance, and if so, are they not entitled to levy tolls ?

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.—

I do not think so. The object of levying a toll is quite different from road maintenance.

Mr. G. Mallanna.—

Will the Government issue orders that Municipalities should not levy a toll on through conveyances ?

First Member of Council.—

The matter will be considered when specific instances are brought to notice.

MR. G. P. RANGAPPA (Honnali Taluk).—

Q.—26. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) Whether Government have made sufficient allotment for primary education ?

(b) Whether it is not possible to provide more funds by reducing the number of officers in the Inspectorate ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENTS.—

A.—26. (a) The allotment for 1930-31 for Primary Education is Rs. 22,33,000 which is about 43 per cent of the total budgetted expenditure on Education for 1930-31, excluding the University Budget. This may be considered adequate with reference to the limited funds available for expenditure.

(b) No.

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. G. P. Rangappa.—

Would it not be desirable to reduce the inspectorate and increase the provision for primary education correspondingly?

Second Member of Council.—

When the number of schools increases, the inspection staff will have to increase. There is no use reducing the number of inspectors and increasing the number of schools.

Mr. V. V. Aiyar.—

Why should not inspection also be entrusted to the local bodies concerned as in the Madras Presidency ?

Second Member of Council.—

The question may be re-examined after the Elementary Education Regulation comes into force. But the inspection